	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/017,160	LI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason M. Perilla	2611	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Jason M. Perilla</u> .	(3)		
(2) Anthony B. Diepenbrock.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 9 June 2006	Time: <u>3<i>PM</i></u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 1-13, 15, 18-27, 29-32, 34, 35, 37-57 Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant reviewed and approved a proposed Examiner's Amendment to place the case into direct condition for allowance.			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	e interview, since the interview	
OMA		·	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature - if appropriate)	